

Publisher Readiness Review

Quality Assurance Journal

ISSN 1234-5678 | Request JQC-QA-001

<https://example.org/journal>

Bottom line

The review found 3 confirmed issues and 0 public-information items requiring publisher attention. 65 requirements were not fully assessed; these are not failures.

2 Retrieved article records	1 Records with review cues	3 Frameworks requested
---	--	--

What to do now

1. Start with the items listed in Publisher Actions.
2. Follow the direct evidence links and correct the public page or metadata record.
3. Retain proof of each correction before requesting an updated review.

Verified facts and review cues

Source coverage

Crossref: 2 records retrieved.

OpenAlex: unavailable during this run. This does not count against the journal.

What the automated cues mean

A review cue is a request to verify one record. It is not a finding of non-compliance. DOI links are used when available; otherwise the workbook provides a landing page, source record, or direct title search.

Most common cues

1 - Very short title

How to use these cues

A cue is included for verification and does not by itself establish non-compliance. Correct only a discrepancy confirmed from the linked source.

DOAJ readiness

3

Confirmed

21

Partly verified

1

Not assessed

0

Publisher action

Requirements supported by the review

At least five research articles are published per year: 7 retrieved records were published in 2025; the stated minimum is 5.

Core article metadata is complete and can be supplied regularly: All 2 retrieved records contain a title, authors and publication date. DOI availability is reported separately and is not treated as a universal requirement.

The journal has a dedicated, globally accessible homepage: The journal homepage returned HTTP 200.

Items not fully assessed

A digital archiving policy names a preservation service: No action required now.

The journal publishes an AI and automated-tools policy: No action required now.

The journal actively publishes scholarly research: No action required now.

Publisher actions

No publisher action was identified for this framework.

Official criteria

[Open the official criteria page](#)

Scopus readiness

1

Confirmed

13

Partly verified

4

Not assessed

2

Publisher action

Requirements supported by the review

The journal homepage is functional and of adequate quality: The journal homepage returned HTTP 200.

Items not fully assessed

Content makes an academic contribution to the field: No action required now.

Abstracts are clear: No action required now.

Published content conforms to the stated aims and scope: No action required now.

Publisher actions

Publication schedule and continuity: Check the public archive. If articles exist, correct the source metadata; if not, explain and address the publication interruption before applying.

Official criteria

[Open the official criteria page](#)

Web of Science readiness

1

Confirmed

19

Partly verified

7

Not assessed

1

Publisher action

Requirements supported by the review

Journal URL and current full-text access details are supplied: The journal homepage returned HTTP 200.

Items not fully assessed

ISSN is registered, verifiable and consistently displayed: No action required now.

Journal title is distinct and aligned across ISSN, scope, content, board and authors: No action required now.

Publisher identity and verifiable physical address are public: No action required now.

Publisher actions

Publication schedule and continuity: Check the public archive. If articles exist, correct the source metadata; if not, explain and address the publication interruption before applying.

Official criteria

[Open the official criteria page](#)

Scope and next step

What this package contains

The Excel workbook contains the complete article table, annual counts, direct verification links, automated review cues, and one readiness sheet for each requested framework.

What it does not decide

The automated check does not decide editorial quality, subject contribution, policy implementation, citation standing, or final indexing eligibility. It also does not ask for confidential peer-review files.

Next step

Address the items in Publisher Actions first. Keep evidence of each update, then request an updated review when the changes are public.